



www.transportlearning.net



Public transport models









Photos: eltis.org, FGM-AMOR

Ioannis Koliousis University of Piraeus Research Centre Location, Date





Training modules

1) Parking space management, access restriction and speed control

2) Mobility management measures for families, kindergartens and schools

- 3) Land use and housing in mobility management
- 4) Public transport models
- 5) Street design, streetscape and traffic calming
- 6) Walking and cycling counselling municipalities

7) Design and implementation of sustainable mobility campaigns

8) Communication training

2



Contents

- Introduction to PT
- Economic concepts of PT
- Environmental aspects of PT

TRANSPOR

3

LEARNING

- Improving PT
- Financing of PT
- PT contracts and assignments
- Practical training projects



Contents

- Introduction to PT
- Economic concepts of PT
- Environmental aspects of PT
- Improving PT
- Financing of PT
- PT contracts and assignments
 Practical training projects



4





What is this training module about?

After understanding this module, you will be able to better:

- Assess the environmental benefits of Public Transport
- Develop actions to improve Public Transport v/v operational efficiency and increased attractiveness
- Understand financing options of Public Transport
- Cope with organisational, financial, and regulatory Public Transport structures

5

- Define and select Public Transport Organisational Models
- Improve own capabilities through the TL mini projects

Location, Date (change in Slidemaster)





What is Public Transport

Public transport comprises all transport systems in which the passengers do not travel in their own vehicles, but share the transport mode. It usually provides scheduled services on fixed routes on a non-reservation basis.

6

Location, Date (change in Slidemaster)



Public Transport has many diverse types

- Bus, trolleybus and coach
- Rail
 - Commuter, intercity, and high-speed rail
 - Tram and light rail
- Rapid transit (metro/subway)
- Cable-propelled transit
- Ferry and other waterborne vessels
- Taxi (when used for a shared ride)
- Airplanes
- Other??







RANSPOR



Public transport models



And why should people rely on Public Transport?

Advantages	Disadvantages	
Lower costs for the community	Set routes are inconvenient	
Energy efficiency	Scheduled times of service are not always suitable	
Reduced carbon footprint/pollution	No privacy / limited comfort	
Increase of safety	Limited space	
Lower traffic congestion		
Smaller urban space requirement		
Improved accessibility		
Improved mobility		
Location, Date (change in Slidemaster)	8 Supported by INTELLIGENT ENERG EUROPE	g y





Public Transport brings value to the local economy and society!

Empowers the Economy

- Time for change v/v this systemic crisis incl. societal change
- Abandon cardependent lifestyle
- Safe and green jobs (largest employer of a city)
- Every €1 in PT generates €4 in total economy

Helps the planet breathe

- Transport = 30% of total energy consumption (EU) | 19% of GHG emissions in the world
- PT consumes 3-4 time less energy per pass-km

Brings everyone everywhere

- Efficient municipal function only through public transport systems
- PT is the most cost-effective mobility offer
- PT links people to their jobs and free time activities
- PT promotes healthier lifestyles and improves safety for all

Alleviates congestion

- PT consumes urban space more efficiently than a car-dominant society
- A car commuter consumes 90 times more space than a rail commuter
- Congestion limits cities

Source: UITP o





Contents

- Introduction to PT
- Economic concepts of PT
- Environmental aspects of PT
- Improving PT
- Financing of PT
- PT contracts and assignments
 Practical training projects



Public transport models



Basic Market Dynamics (1/3)



Public transport models



Basic Market Dynamics (2/3)





Basic Market Dynamics (3/3)

Perfect Competition VS Monopoly

Individual Firm





Public transport models



In order to measure the change we use Price Elasticities... Transportation Elasticities (Goodwin 1992)

	Short-term	Long-term		
Petrol consumption WRT petrol price	-0.27	-0.71		
Traffic levels WRT petrol price	-0.16	-0.33		
Bus demand WRT fare cost	-0.28	-0.55		
Railway demand WRT fare cost	-0.65	-1.08		
Public transit WRT petrol price	-0.34			
Car ownership WRT general PT costs	-0.1 to -0.3			

$\varepsilon_{p} = \frac{\% \text{ Change in Quantity}}{\% \text{ change in Price}}$ $\Rightarrow \text{Elastic Demand: } |\varepsilon| > 1$ $\Rightarrow \varepsilon = 1$ $\Rightarrow \text{Inelastic demand: } |\varepsilon| < 1$

Passenger Transport Elasticities

	Auto	Bus	Rail	Air
Urban Passenger, Price	-0.47	-0.58	-0.86	
Urban Passenger, In-Vehicle Time	-0.22	-0.60	-0.60	
Intercity Passenger, Price	-0.45	-0.69	-1.20	-0.38
Intercity Passenger, Travel Time	-0.39	-2.11	-1.58	-0.43
Leveling Date (shares in Olidensets)		(El 1977)		

Location, Date (change in Slidemaster)

14





Modal split: public transport VS car use in European cities







- Introduction to PT
- Economic concepts of PT
- Environmental aspects of PT
- Improving PT
- Financing of PT
- PT contracts and assignments
 Practical training projects



Location, Date (change in Slidemaster)



Public transport models



Environmental Benefits of Public Transport

Public Transport, reduces automobile use, therefore:

 Improves Air Quality, by diminishing harmful greenhouse gas emissions, and other pollutants, which can damage the environment, and cause public health problems.



CO₂ emissions per passenger kilometre





Public VS. Private Transport: Required Infrastructure

- 2. Alleviates traffic congestion and therefore promotes energyefficiency, and reduces dependence on foreign oil
- Requires less land use than road infrastructure, thus helping to reduce individual carbon footprints, while preserving and enhancing mobility



Source: www.pednet.org



Some (basic) examples: Please fill in the table (~5 min)

ε _p		Change in Price	Price _{Before}	Price _{After}	Change in Quantity	Quantity _{Before}	Quantity _{After}	
-	0,25	10%	1,00	1,10	-2,5%	500.000	487.500	
			2,00	2,50		400.000	385.000	
	-0,3	-30%	2,00		9,0%	250.000		111.
	-0,5	10%	1,50		-5,0%		285.000	
-	0,58		1,00	2,00		150.000	63.000	
	-0,6	9%		2,18	-5,4%		946.000	
Location, Date (change in Slidemaster)			19		GENT ENERGY E			



Some (basic) examples: Please fill in the table (~5 min)

ε _p	Change in Price	Price _{Before}	Price _{After}	Change in Quantity	Quantity _{Before}	Quantity _{After}	
-0,25	10%	1,00	1,10	-2,5%	500.000	487.500	
-0,15	25%	2,00	2,50	-3,8%	400.000	385.000	
-0,3	-30%	2,00	1,40	9,0%	250.000	272.500	1119
-0,5	10%	1,50	1,65	-5,0%	300.000	285.000	-
-0,58	100%	1,00	2,00	-58,0%	150.000	63.000	
-0,6	9%	2,00	2,18	-5,4%	1.000.000	946.000	
You can now see the effects in the total returns of your PT company (:Revenue= P*Q)							
Location, Date	(change in Slidema	aster)		20		GENT ENERGY	



Contents

- Introduction to PT
- Economic concepts of PT
- Environmental aspects of PT
- Improving PT
- Financing of P1
- PT contracts and assignments
 Prectical tracks and precisets
- Practical training projects





What can we change in order to favour PT usage



Public transport models

- Conditions of traffic congestion/ capacity of road network
- Price of petrol

•

- Price of PT fares
- Frequency of PT provision
- Duration of trip with PT/ PT route
- Personal security
- Proximity of residence to PT stop







Location, Date (change in Slidemaster)





Perceived problems faced by bus systems affecting efficiency

- Inadequate service capacity
- •Unreliable service
- Irregular frequency
- •Poor route coverage
- •Excessive transfer requirements between routes
- •Excessive fares
- Low profitability
- •Excessive subsidy requirement
- •Poor vehicle quality
- •Poor safety performance
- Traffic congestion caused by buses
- •Pollution caused by buses
- Mistreatment of passengers





23



Operational measures to improve financial viability of public transport

Public transport models





Video

Monitoring the bus transport system http://eltis.org/index.php?ID1=7&id=61&video_id=86





An example: The German Case

- Federal system of government, the interaction of national, state and local levels shapes transport policies
- Significant government involvement in the PT sector
- Very wealthy country : per capita income \$40900 in 2008
- High rate of car ownership 560 cars per 1000 inhabitants
- Extensive roadway system
- Car manufacturing is very important to national economy (20% of GDP)
- Car is an important symbol of mobility and freedom



RANSPC

I FARNING





The German Case: Trends in PT demand

- PT accounted for 8% of all trips in Germany (2001/2002 MiD data, this varies, other sources state 11%)
- Average household income for German passengers were 4\$ below the German average (2001/2002)
- The majority of PT trips are made for commuting
- Also, 5% of social and recreational trips are made by PT
- PT use has been increasing from 1990 to 2007 (both in terms of annual trips and kilometres of travel). Growth in ridership was stronger for metro and commuter rail (50%) than for rail and buses (7%)
- Increases in PT use were concentrated in the western part of the country, while former socialist eastern Germany saw a drop in PT patronage





The German Case: Trends in PT supply and financial sustainability

- From 1992 to 2007 German public transport did not expand its overall supply but improved its financial productivity and efficiency
- Vehicle kilometers of bus and light rail service declined slightly between 1992-2007
- Over the last 20 years German PT companies have recovered an increasing share of their operating budgets with farebox revenues (PT fares accounted for an increasing share of operating expenses: 59% in 1992 to 77& in 2007)
- The share of government subsidy in PT operating budgets was 23% in 2007
- German transit demand increased faster than transit supply
- Farebox revenue per vehicle km increased sharply (more passengers per vehicle km and increased transit fares)
- Improved labour productivity (vehicle kms of transit service per employee)
- Operating deficit decreased by 25% between 1998 and 2006, due to improved labour productivity, additional farebox revenue and more PT users
- Germany has averaged less than \$5 billion a year in government subsidies for transit capital investments from 1997 to 2006.





1. Reduction in costs

EU transport regulations: subsidised transit services could be subject to competitive call for tender process. Government could be forced to award operating licenses to lowest cost providers. Most local PT services in Germany are subsidised.

Most German PT agencies have started to get ready for competitive tendering since the mid-1990s, with the prospect of potential future competition and shrinking revenues of municipal utilities.

• Several cost cutting measures: reduced workforce, decreased salaries (between 1995 and 2003 PT employees salaries fell by 30%), restructured their organisation, focused on overall cost effective provision of transit service.

 Many PT agencies founded subsidiaries for hiring new employees: these employees received lower salaries, fewer benefits and longer hours. These subsidiaries are cost efficient and are used to win bids in future calls for tender.





1. Reduction in costs

• PT operators focused their services on profitable and attractive routes while cutting less profitable (with less ridership) routes

Example: BvG in Berlin saved 9.5 million euros annually by cutting less profitable routes with low ridership and providing increased service on arterials in the city itself.

• Neighbouring PT agencies reduced overall costs by sharing garages (yards and shop) and part of their vehicle fleet, and also personnel (IT and administrative)

Location, Date (change in Slidemaster)

30





2. Increasing ridership and revenue (1/2)

- Regional monthly and annual transit passes for all groups
- Increased quality of vehicles, station and other services with focus on customer convenience.
- Increase fares: increased revenue per passenger for transit agencies. Also, total revenues increased because of the number of transit passenger trips rose despite the fare increase.
- Local governments limited car speeds and coordinated their transport and land use plans
- Tight Coordination of transit services, fares and schedule within metropolitan areas (regional transit organisations- verkehrsverbunds- to coordinate all aspects of PT operations and ticketing). For example, transfers between bus and rail are coordinated in terms of timing and distance walked (Freiburg verkehrsverbund saw an increase of over 50% in ridership between 1991 and 2007)





2. Increasing ridership and revenue (2/2)

- Integrated and coordinated PT services: new coordinated timetables for rail aiming to integrate all rail PT operations with local PT services, thus making PT more attractive.
- Integrated daily, monthly and annual tickets and other concession type tickets (for students and seniors)
- Improved customer services and increased convenience to make PT more attractive : real time information, signal priority at intersections, integration of PT with cycling, electronic tickets to be purchased via mobile phone, improved websites etc







3. Complementary policies

- Area wide traffic calming, car free pedestrian zones, increased fees for parking and reduced parking supply
- Federal taxation also made car use more expensive
- Improved conditions for cycling and walking, expanded bike paths and cycle lanes (the vast majority of german passengers access PT by bicycle or walking)
- Land use laws encourage dense and mixed use settlements which facilitate transit use and assure sort trip distances between origins and destinations (example: in 2007 65% of all Freiburg residents and 75% of all jobs were within 300 m of a PT stop)





Factors influencing bus system efficiency

The principal factors that influence the efficiency of a bus system are:

- •Regulatory framework
- •Fare control
- •Enforcing rules and regulations
- •Route planning
- Interchange facilities
- Through ticketing
- •Operating structures and company size
- •Vehicle size and type
- •Fleet size
- •Excessive operating costs
- •Operating practices
- •Vehicle maintenance
- •Bus utilisation
- •Revenue integrity
- •Competition in the market
- •Competition from the informal sector





Video

New improved public transport network in Vitoria Gasteiz, Spain http://eltis.org/index.php?ID1=7&id=61&video_id=98







Actions to improve Public Transport operational efficiency and increase attractiveness

Public transport models




Bus lanes in Europe have a range of applications





TRANSPOR

LEARNING





Video Budapest coloured bus lanes <u>http://eltis.org/index.php?ID1=7&id=61&video_id=6</u>





Dedicated lanes improve PT operations, but have to be carefully designed

TRANSPOR

LEARNING

Advantages	Disadvantages
Oecrease in travel time (for buses)	Increase of average queue lengths and car delays
Increase schedule adherence	Loss in parking provision (usually bus lanes are implemented by converting previous parking lanes)
Increase bus service reliability	Deterioration of operating conditions in the surrounding area due to traffic re-assignment
Improve bus passenger journey times	Implementation costs: Costs involving the studies, construction works, and operation
Encourage use of public transport	
Reduce traffic pollution	
Provide a safer lane for cyclists	
Location, Date (change in Slidemaster)	39 INTELLIGENT ENERGY







Practical examples of bus/bike lanes





Madrid



Location, Date (change in Slidemaster)





Public transport priority at traffic signals (1/3)

- Providing priority for public transport vehicles at signalised intersections is a way of increasing PT speed / reducing PT travel time and increasing schedule reliability
- Increasing the speed of buses and trams will:
- make service more attractive to customers and increase ridership
- reduce the cost of providing service since fewer vehicles and operators are needed to provide a certain level of service
- How can we increase PT speed: Traffic Signal Priority

When a bus or tram approaches a traffic signal, the traffic signal turns green, allowing it to proceed through the signal without stopping.

Public transport priority at traffic signals (2/3)

Public transport models



TRANSPOR

LEARNING



Public transport priority at traffic signals (3/3)



RANSPC

LEARNING

Bus priority in Europe



A combination of both systems has been implemented in Zurich since the early 1980s, which gives maximum preference for buses and trams at signalised junctions, with the aim of ensuring **'zero waiting time zero' for public transport**.

• London 💥

The iBUS system utilises GPS technology and other on-bus systems to communicate with the bus' on-board computer. When a virtual detection point is reached, as programmed into the iBIS plus software, a signal is sent to the transceiver in the signal controller **requesting bus priority**, and to a central location for performance monitoring.

• Other cities with bus priority at traffic signals: Genoa, Nantes Malmo, Suceava, Stuttgart





Using real time data to control public transport operations

Real time information in public transport systems is used to:

1. Control public transport operations

Public transport agencies have operation centres monitoring traffic conditions and other transport disturbances. Real time information helps in **maintaining schedules and reliable vehicle performance**

2. Provide accurate information to customers

Provide public transport users with **detailed real time information** about vehicles

3. Develop public transport improvement plans

Public travel agencies should take advantage of user-gathered information, by developing a plan for **collecting and analysing real time data** that can be incorporated into planning processes





Exercise: Identify the key data that are required by a frequent (commuter), leisure, elderly passengers





Actively promote public transport in neighbourhoods

Effective promotional methods include:

1. Branding

> Developing an easily recognisable logo that will identify all public transport services and will be displayed in all public transits, as well as in print, electronic, and broadcast media advertising

2. Advertising

Creating and publicising advertisements

Creating and directly mailing leaflets that inform residents about the benefits of public transport services available

Creating public transport services maps and transit guides that familiarise users with the transport system







ANSPO



Actively promote public transport in neighbourhoods

3. Media Relations

- Publishing helpful hints about transit in local newspapers and magazines
- Posting additional real-time transit information on the internet
- Sponsoring community events

4. Promotions

- Establishing ride-free days at key times during the year
- Introducing weekly/monthly tickets for commuters



RANSPO

I FARNING



In order to attract more passengers, PT modes and stops need to provide **safe travel and waiting areas**. In order to enhance security, it is important to:

Provide enough space/bus shelters at bus stops that can accommodate people standing and waiting for their bus/tram

Provide sufficient lighting at stops

Provide video surveillance through CCTV systems in transport modes (buses, tubes, stops) and at stops to provide safe journeys and protect the infrastructure from vandalism

>Make use of video analytics to monitor threat and suspicious activities

SECUR-ED is a demonstration project with an objective to provide a set of tools to improve urban transport security (<u>www.secur-ed.eu</u>)







Accessibility improvements to and from stops

In order to make PT more **efficient and accessible** to all passengers, including vulnerable groups (elderly, children, people with reduced mobility) and allow **easy unobstructed access** to and from the stop, the following need to be considered:

• Better vehicle design: low floor buses to enable safe boarding, especially for people with reduced mobility

• Better public transport stops design: sheltered stops with seats, to protect from weather conditions and provide safe waiting time, without conflicting with pedestrians on footways

• **Provision of accurate information to passengers**: detailed information on PT frequency, routes, maps of bus stops in the surrounding area, real time information at stops





Video Public Transport for people with reduced mobility http://eltis.org/index.php?ID1=7&id=61&video_id=100





Public transport models

Public transport stops- examples







ERGY

E

54

TRANSPORT LEARNING





Public transport stops- examples



Pictures 5 and 6: Tram stops in Krakow

Location, Date (change in Slidemaster)

Supported by

RGY



In order to make public transport more efficient and attractive it is necessary to provide websites with **detailed and accessible information**, such as:

- •Timetables and routes
- Door-to-door journey planner
- •Maps of public transport network and public transport stops
- Information on public transport operators



Provide website with PT related information

Transport for London website



TRANSPORT

LEARNING





A necessary integration: A transport system that integrates public transport methods and cycling can provide a high level of mobility and sustainability comparable to car travel.

The combination of these transport modes:

•ls very friendly for the urban environment, highly improving air quality and personal health

•Provides relief from congestion and limited urban space, contributing significantly on the livability of cities

•Attracts new users of bicycles and public transport



Photo: http://www.podilates.gr/?q=node/260



1) Bike and Ride

The Bike and Ride (BR) is a journey chain, where a traveller cycles to a public transport stop, parks the bike, and travels onwards using public transport.

Necessary Actions: Efficient Bicycle Storage

- Bicycle users are concerned about protection against vandalism, theft, or bad weather conditions
- Important to provide good bicycle parking at transit stops and transportation terminals

 Mix of paid lockers and free racks may be appropriate, to accommodate commuters who require high level of security and commuters who require simple bike racks

LEARNING

Public transport models

Examples of good cycle storage facilities

- **France**: all metro and RER stations on the outskirts of the city have covered and guarded bike-parking facilities, to which any public transport ticket holder has free access.
- **Germany/Netherlands**: all main train stations have facilities for storage of bikes at PT stops, ranging from small covered areas to electronic boxes, or even big installations with maintenance and repair facilities.

 Similar bicycle parking facilities exist in other European countries like the United Kingdom, Belgium, Sweden, and Switzerland.



RANSPO

LEARNING

Bike Storage Facilities -Amsterdam



2) Bike, Ride and Bike

The Bike, Ride and Bike (BRB) is a journey chain, where a user travels with a bicycle through the public transport system.

Necessary Actions: Bicycles on Transport

- All public transport means should become more efficient in carrying bicycles
 - Buses should be equipped with bike-racks, or allow bicycles in designated areas
 - Trains and trams (low-floor vehicles) could allow travellers to carry their bicycles, especially during off-peak periods
- Bicycle access to transit stops should be improved through
 - Paths, bike lanes, and road improvements that would facilitate the access to transit stations
 - Maps that illustrate the best cycling routes between PT stations and common destinations

Location, Date (change in Slidemaster)

EARNING



3) Ride and Bike

The Ride and Bike (RB) is a journey chain, where a traveller uses a bike after using public transport.

Necessary Actions: Public Bike Systems

- Travellers need an efficient way of performing short, practical, urban trips.
- Important to establish automated bicycle rental systems, with stations at public transit stations.



RANSPO

LEARNING

Public transport models

Examples of BRB practices

• **Germany**: In many cities, bicycles are allowed to be taken on trams and even on buses. Some cities even have tramlines with designated open wagons for bicycles. Furthermore, some regions have set up an internet route planning system for cyclists, providing information on bike routes to regional PT stops and alternative routes avoiding steep sections.

• **France**: In some cities, bicycles are authorised at the back of the trams during off-peak periods. In Paris, bikes are allowed on the RER, and on certain metro lines.

• In other European countries like the **Netherlands and the UK**, even though normal bikes are not permitted in trams and buses, folding bikes are allowed.



RANSPO

I FARNING

Bicycle Wagon in Train - Copenhagen



Public transport models

Examples of RB practices

 In most northern European countries, such as France, Germany, Belgium, Denmark, and the Netherlands, short-term rental systems for bicycles are in operation. The bikes are usually fully automated, and largely used to cover short distances up to 3km within the city, with a peak at rush hours. The rental points are often located near PT stops.

• In some UK cities, the 'bike-about' service provides free cycle loan, with only an initial registration fee. This service is supported by the European Union, within the framework of the CIVITAS initiative (cleaner and better transport in cities) <u>http://www.civitas.eu/index.php?id=69</u>



RANSPO

LEARNING

Bike Storage Facility – Bilbao, Spain



Integrated ticketing

What is an integrated ticketing system?

A ticketing system which allows travellers to make a journey using different transport modes (bus, train, tram, subway) with a single ticket, valid for the complete journey.

Most use electronic technologies:

magnetic stripe cards
smart cards
paper cash tickets allowing transfers within specified areas





Why integrated ticketing?

Traveller Benefits

- •Simplified switching between transport modes
- Increases passenger satisfaction
- •Creates a level playing field for use of transport modes and provision of service across operators
- •Only requires one card to be held for all ticketing needs
- •Flexibility of card use reduces the dependence on cash
- •Offers 'best price' for a journey as well as additional discounting opportunities
- •Greater inducement to travel by public transport
- •Improved journey times





Why integrated ticketing ?

Operator Benefits

- Improved efficiency and reduced cost of operation
- Increased use of PT
- •Reduction in cash and cash handling requirement
- •Flexibility in fare policy and product definition
- •Reduced dwell time at stops
- •Reduced transaction and administrative costs
- Reduced fraud

•Vastly improved availability of travel data and passenger behaviour enabling service analysis

•Better capacity and network planning, more reliable and efficient services





Integrated ticketing system- Working steps

1.Collection of data required (study of the target area, behavioural survey, market research etc)

2.Formal decisions (agreements on financial contributions, approval by traffic departments, partnership agreements)

3. Elaboration of the ticketing system (designing of ticket products, agreement on monitoring and management, etc)

4. Tendering and negotiation with ticketing suppliers

- 5.Implementation and installation
- 6. Promotion of the new services and their advantages
- 7. Evaluation and monitoring of system

(Source: CIVITAS)





Costs of integrated ticketing system

- Costs for specifying and developing a new ticketing system (consultant, involvement of transport operators, etc.)
- Software for integrated ticketing systems (to connect the systems of different operators, internet tool for subscriptions, user software with an easily understandable interface, etc.)
- Equipment for vehicles, stops and stations (antennae, ticket vending machines, validators, etc.)
- Maintenance costs for the equipment
- Maintenance agreement for software, if proprietary
- Costs for updating the system and the software
- Additional costs (e.g. for the development of a monitoring system)

(Source: CIVITAS)





Integrated ticketing system in practice: London

Integrated ticketing has been introduced to smooth out public transport system services of London, including bus, light rail, tube services, and heavy rail services

Integrated tickets for all PT services in London:

- •Travelcard: paper cash ticket
- •Oyster card: magnetic stripe card

Characteristics

organised according to a concentric ring structure with 6 zones
fare paid is determined by the Travelcard 'zonal coverage'
time-based, sold in daily, weekly, monthly or annual form







Integrated ticketing system in practice: London

Benefits of integrated ticketing in London (between 1983 and 1992, increase by)			
Number of tube passengers	10%		
Miles per tube passenger	33%		
Number of bus passengers	16%		
Miles per bus passenger	20%		
Source: http://www.pteg.net/NR/rdonlyres/EACFCEE0-F212-467F-B342 2B9B9538DEED/0/integratedticketingreport221009.pdf Location, Date (change in Slidemaster) 71 71			



Park and Ride: The Scheme



- Park and ride (or incentive parking) are car park facilities with connections to public transport, allowing travellers to safely leave their vehicles and transfer to a bus, or rail system in order to travel to the inner city.
- Park and Rides are generally located in the outer regions of urban areas.

The benefits of park and ride schemes are several:

- They provide a way for commuters to avoid the difficulties and costs of parking within the city centre
- They provide great relief from traffic congestion, since people are encouraged to use public transport instead of personal vehicles
- They are useful as a fixed meeting place for travellers who engage in carpooling or Kiss and Ride




Park and Ride: Cambridge Park and Ride System- A Case Study

Cambridgeshire County Council considers the Park and Ride facility a means of great relief from the severe congestion in the city centre, and a method of promoting sustainable transport.

The Scheme

The 5 Cambridge Park and Rides provide a convenient and stress free way of getting into Cambridge city centre:

- •They are situated on the 5 main radial routes into Cambridge
- •They provide around 5000 free parking spaces for vehicles
- •They are connected to bus routes, through which travellers can travel to the inner city, by paying a normal bus ticket



Park and Ride: Cambridge Park and Ride System- A Case Study

Benefits of Cambridge Park and Ride scheme:

- **Private car mileage** experienced **a net decrease** from the use of Park and Ride facilities
- A reduction in traffic in urban areas, especially during the peak hours, represents an efficiency gain, and is likely to reduce air and noise pollution, and perceptions of danger
- Public transport usage was successfully promoted

It is important to note that the effect of such schemes will become even more significant if they are accompanied by other transport strategies, such as congestion charging systems or systems that aim to remove long stay car parking in the centre.

LEARNING





LIGENT ENERGY

NTELLIG

Е





Source: http://www.cambridgeshire.gov.uk/



Location, Date (change in Slidemaster)







Operational Excellence (some more tips)

- Passenger surveys
- Quality monitoring system through passenger observation regarding the quality of PT provision
- Network setup
- Provision of staff training (e.g. energy efficient driving)
- Improvements on public transport route planning to enable interchange between transport modes
- Establishment of mobility information centres to promote sustainable means of transport
- Energy savings
- Alternative fuels
- Increase value (and profits)
-(more ideas???)





Video

Sihlcity - Transport solutions for a shopping mall (impact of mobility management measures in conjunction with public transport improvements)

http://eltis.org/index.php?ID1=7&id=61&video_id=76

Location, Date (change in Slidemaster)



Contents

- Introduction to PT
- Economic concepts of PT
- Environmental aspects of PT
- Improving PT
- Financing of PT
- PT contracts and assignments
 Practical training projects







Financing of PT: Identifying funding options for PT

- Revenues from PT fares
- **National sources**: governmental funds (e.g Local Sustainable Transport Fund in the UK), funds from ministries (e.g Greek Ministry of Economic Affairs)
- **Other funding options** which support planning objectives: road charging, parking pricing, emission fees, marketing space for sale/rent, rent buses
- European sources: Cohesion Fund, ERDF, ISPA, INTERREG
- Banks: World Bank, EIB, EBRD
- NSRF 2007 2013: Operational Programme Road Axes, Ports & Urban Development, Operational Programme Railways, Airports & Public Transport
- Fund Transition Facility
-Other????





Financing of PT: Road Charging - London Congestion Charging Scheme

It was introduced in February 2003 in order to:

➤reduce congestion

>make radical improvements to bus services

>improve journey time reliability for car users

>make the distribution of goods and services more efficient



How it works:

Cameras at entrances, exits and around the zone read car number plates

Standard charge £10/day for vehicles that travel within the zone between 07:00 and 18:00 (Monday-Friday only)

Some vehicles, like buses, axis, ambulances, motorcycles, alternative fuel vehicles, and bicycles are exempt from the charge

>a penalty of between £60 and £180 is levied for non-payment

Location, Date (change in Slidemaster)





Financing of PT: Road Charging- London Congestion Charging Scheme Transport

- 1. Advantages
- 6% increase in bus passengers during charging hours
- **All net revenue** (£148m in financial year 2009/10) is re-invested in improving transport in London 8%

2. Disadvantages

Congestion has risen back to pre-charging levels (although would be much worse without the charge) This is due to:

- Widespread water and gas main replacement works, which have greatly reduced the road capacity
- Traffic management measures to help pedestrians and other road users

(data source: TfL)

Location, Date (change in Slidemaster)

for London Revenue breakdown (2010/11)

- Fares revenue (London Underground) Fares revenue (bus network) 45%
 - Congestion charging scheme
 - Rent and commercial advertising
 - Fares revenue (rail)

Other income



6%

33%



Contents

- Introduction to PT
- Economic concepts of PT
- Environmental aspects of PT
- Improving PT
- Financing of PT
- PT contracts and assignments
- Practical training projects





Private and Privately owned firms VS Public VS Municipal firms

- Private firms expect a profit, therefore have a minimum allowable rates of returns
- Different rules in taxation and financing
- Different set of goals, therefore they respond to different incentives and disincentives
- Different measures and criteria for evaluation
- Different vision
- Different scope
- Different business models







Organisational, financial, and regulatory structures (1/2)

Unlicensed, unregulated, unsupported private ops

- there is no preset level of service or fare
- there is no preset fare structure
- generally operated where the government is very weak, typically in low income context or in fast-growing fringe areas

Licensed unsupported private ops

- a first-step that can be taken against chaotic conditions
- licensing is intended to limit the number of operators or/and to impose some standards on them
- revenue from license fees also provides the means for enforcement

Licensed but publicly supported private ops

- may still have wide control in selecting routes and schedules...
- ...but some measure of public control is usually expected in return
- service hours might be expanded to guarantee service is provided at times even when it is not profitable

Government Intervention





Organisational, financial, and regulatory structures (2/2)

Publicly planned & supported, private ops

- planning of routes is done publicly
- private operators deliver the services
- responsibility for routes, schedules, and other service design issues s are placed squarely on the planning authority
- public support depends on each case
- dominant model in much of South America

Publicly planned, supported, and operated network

- usually no private operator can create competing services without permission
- similar to public monopoly in electricity or water
- services have to be coordinated in order to maximise benefits from investments in multimodal public transport systems
- predominant model in North America, and until recently, in the European Union

Government Intervention

4 🚐

Public transport models



The Balancing Act Facing the Public Authority







Public Transport Organisation Models: Contracting models

Infrastructure

- Traditional Separation of Project Phases
- Design Build
- Design Build Operate -Maintain (DBOM)
- Build Operate Transfer (BOT)

Operations and maintenance

- Gross Contract Models
- Net Contract Models
- Composite Contract Models





Contracting models for operations and maintenance: Gross Contract Model

Characteristics

- Planned and administered by a public agency separate from public and private operating entities
- Public subsidy is set through competitive proposals to operate services
- Winning operator commits to a certain level of service by time of day and by route
- A contract could be cancelled in the middle of its term in case of underperformance
- From *funding agency*'s perspective <u>Positive</u>: incentive for cost control in order to maximise profit <u>Negative</u>: lack of incentive to improve service or attract additional passengers
- From *public authority's* perspective <u>Negative</u>: high employee turnover rates





Contracting models for operations and maintenance: Net Contract Model

Characteristics

• Public agency is in charge of service planning

• Public subsidy sufficient to guarantee only a minimum level of service- the remainder of financial support coming from operating revenues

• The contract operator has an incentive to deliver higher quality service to maintain revenue and ridership

 From *public authority*'s perspective <u>Positive</u>: strong incentive for the operator to participate in the creation of additional ridership <u>Negative</u>: potential loss of control





Contracting models for operations and maintenance: Net and Gross Contract Models







Contracting models for operations and maintenance: Composite Contract Model

Characteristics

- Captures positive points of Gross and Net Contract models
- Quality Bonus based on periodic survey of customers
- Adds a percentage of revenues collected to the gross amount paid in the contract

 this bonus creates an incentive to increase ridership
- Operators can add, remove or amend service offerings as long as these changes meet with public approval
- Can be modified with minimum wage requirements to reduce staff turnover





Items to consider (items of conflict and of business interest)

- Duration
- Scoping (route assignment & geo-range)
- Modifications options
- Asset type (rail, buses, metro)
- Asset ownership
- Awarding process (auction, direct assignment)
- Monitoring processes





4	Public transport models				
	Duration	Scoping (route assignment)	Modifications options	Asset Ownership	Monitoring processes
Amsterdam	3 years	Responsibility of transport provider	Yes	 A) Basic infrastructure (eg. transport networks): State B) Vehicles and other equipment: Executive agent 	Monitoring of services (accuracy, number), passenger traffic, and passenger satisfaction.
Barcelona	2 years	Responsibility of transport provider	-	 A) Basic infrastructure (eg. transport networks): State B) Vehicles and other equipment: Executive agent 	Monitoring of progress every 3 months: financial results, investments, quality of services offered
Brussels	5 years	Responsibility of transport provider	Yes	 A) Basic infrastructure (eg. transport networks): State B) Vehicles and other equipment: Executive agent 	Control of passenger satisfaction
Krakow	14 years	Responsibility of transport provider	Yes	 A) Basic infrastructure (eg. transport networks): State B) Vehicles and other equipment: Executive agent 	-
London	5 years	Responsibility of transport provider	Yes	 A) Basic infrastructure (eg. transport networks): State B) Vehicles and other equipment: Executive agent 	Monitoring of services (accuracy, number, security), passenger traffic, and passenger satisfaction (detailed research with many criteria)
Lombardy	7 years	Responsibility of transport provider	For changes over 3%, modification of contract	 A) Basic infrastructure (eg. transport networks): Public Authority B) Vehicles, offices, and other equipment: Executive agent 	Evaluation system (time, quality, safety, etc.)
Munich	6-7 years	Responsibility of transport provider	Transport provider can ask for modification of terms of contract	 A) Basic infrastructure (eg. transport networks): Public Authority B) Vehicles, offices, and other equipment: Executive agent 	Quality control of services offered Customer satisfaction surveys Productivity Analysis Management of customer complaints



Contracting models for capital projects: Traditional Model

Characteristics

• Separation of project into phases



RANSPO

LEARNING

Why this model

- Expertise for system development to meet societal goals is different from expertise to manage manufacturing and construction
- Removes conflicts of interest: the total value of the design and specification portion of a project is a small part of the physical construction and manufacturing portion
- Funding authorities can control the progress of the project



Contracting models for infrastructure projects

Design - Build Model

- Synergies: The same firm designs, constructs and procures equipment
- The firm optimises project phasing based on its resources->cost savings
- No delays due to the need for negotiation
- Disputes are settled internally between the prime contractor's internal divisions and its subcontractors
- From funding agency's perspective: some of the project cost risk and completion date risk is shifted to a turnkey provider
- Rewards and bonuses for early completion / completion under budget
 penalties for late completion
- Great success in Asia

Design - Build - Operate -Maintain (DBOM) Model

- A single firm is responsible for the operation
- Based on the concept of minimising the life-cycle cost of an investment
- Not suitable for extensions to existing rail lines because the particular completed project cannot be operated in isolation from existing services
- Not to be confused with organisational structure that requires no public financial support

Build - Operate - Transfer (BOT) Model

- The construction firm operates the system for an interim period; the system is handed over to public ownership and operation
- If this period is long, then this model is quite similar to the DBOM
- Is it is only for a few years this model functions as a training mechanism: administrative, supervisory, operating, maintenance and repair training



Public Transport Organisation Models: The UK experience

Bus Deregulation in London

Characteristics of UK bus industry, prior to mid-1980s:

•public ownership at local level
•heavily subsidised
•slowly declining ridership
•little innovation in technology, service, or management
•little responsiveness to public needs or concern

A needed transformation of the public transport system



RANSPOR

LEARNING

http://www.planetbods.org/blog/2011/10/25/london_bus_deregulation



Public Transport Organisation Models: The UK experience

Outside London: Bus Deregulation (1986)

 Commercial services (concessionary fares reimbursement and fuel taxes rebates) VS Noncommercial (needed for social reasons)

Objectives:

- Create a competitive market
- Reduce costs
- Improve resource allocation

Results

RANSPOR

LEARNING

- Reduction of about 50% on operating costs, immediately after deregulation
- Reduction (about 30%) of subsidies
- Increase in bus kilometres of service
- Increase of fares, particularly in major metropolitan areas
- Great majority of services (80-85%) operated in commercial regime

Supported by

- Relatively little sustained on-thestreet competition
- Significant decline in ridership





Public Transport Organisation Models: The UK experience

Deregulation was not introduced inside London (due to congestion and rail system implications concerns).

The process

- Decentralisation of London Buses Limited (LBL) operations, giving progressively more independence to LBL depots
- Awarding ~ 50% of competitive tenders to LBL subsidiaries (the rest to independent private bus operators)
- LBL subsidiaries to restructure labour contracts and management strategy
- By 1994 all LBL subsidiaries were privatised

Results London Bus Privatisation

RANSPOR

LEARNING

- Unit cost reductions of approximately the same amount as outside London
- Increase of service provided by a similar amount to outside London
- Modest growth of ridership
- Substantial decline in subsidy







Results from the First Eight Years of Bus Privatisation in the UK

Expectation	Effect of Deregulation	Effect of Contracting (London)			
Encourage Innovation	Mixed – increased use of minibuses only important service innovation	Mixed – some service innovations from more enterprising operators			
Encourage cost reductions	Yes – 25% reductions or more	25% reductions			
Provide a service which better corresponds to the needs of the customer	No – worsened regularity and poor information have offset the benefit of a substantial increase in service provided	Yes – large improvement in quality			
Reduction in fares	No – fares up 30%	No – fares up 6%			
Arrest reduction in bus travel and reduce reliance upon the private car	Mixed – traffic down 30%, although in a few areas with only one operator there have been large increases in travel and reduced reliance upon the private car	Yes – ridership maintained			
Location, Date (change in Slidemaster) Source: Bruun, 2007 100					





Advancing the PT Authority to Mobility Provider

• Open Discussion:

- What are the missing items from your local PT agenda?





Contents

- Introduction to PT
- Economic concepts of PT
- Environmental aspects of PT
- Improving PT
- Financing of PT
- PT contracts and assignments
- Practical training projects



Location, Date (change in Slidemaster)





What are the Practical Training Projects (mini-projects)

- Duration of mini projects: six weeks to five months
- Mini-projects can be implemented in teams ideally one public official and one employee of an energy agency
- A great opportunity to put the new findings into practice and at the same time profit from a personal mentor, giving advice and assisting in the implementation process
- Trainees have the possibility to communicate with their personal trainer via a communication platform
- Training certificate from the TU Dresden after successful implementation of the mini-project
- Provisional List of PTPs available, however, availability to choose topic of interest

memo [Company name] To: [Recipient names] From: PTP Participant CC: [Recipient names] [Pick the date] Date: Re: PTP Subject [Your comments] Comments: Short assignment to introduce your topic of interest to your local municipal (urban/rural) setting. Intends to show how you understood the core concepts presented Will be publicly available to help others introduce the same ideas. 103



Mini Projects - Suggested topics

- 1. Provision of a range of public transport information for a local area
- 2. Provision of bus timetables at bus stops
- 3. Integrated ticketing between operators
- 4. Intensive local marketing campaign for bus routes in a specific neighbourhood
- 5. Allow bikes on buses/trains/trams
- 6. Real time information at bus stops
- 7. Financing of PT and ownership in a certain city
- 8. Website analysis and requirements for PT in a certain city
- 9. Promotion of PT and alternative promotion/dissemination channels
- 10. Change of traditional PT to Mobility Organisations
- 11. Awareness raising activities to promote the use of PT (e.g create a TV or radio spot promoting PT)
- 12. Set up mobility packages ('starter packet') for new citizens of the city
- 13. Develop an action day with pupils or with design students, theme: "pimp my bus station" present results to the public

Location, Date (change in Slidemaster)





Mini Projects – Suggested topics

- 14. Conduct a small survey/market study about the satisfaction with the PT system in the city (develop questionnaire, interview PT users and non-users, analyse the results and write a report). Present your findings to the PT operator
- 15. Create and promote job ticket offers to companies
- 16. Create and offer "PT use" classes for seniors and create and design information materials for seniors; align PT use to seniors' needs
- 17. Offer special PT tickets for families e.g. allowing the kids to ride for free
- 18. Create WLAN use option or "PT-stop to PT-stop communication" to make waiting time at PT-stops more attractive to children and youth
- 19. Reimburse tickets for shopping trips (by the shops instead of reimbursing parking costs)
- 20. Organise a public transport day
- 21. Organise urban transport race from one point to another in the city to prove which transport is faster compared, to the CO2 emissions.
- 22. Loyalty programme for PT passengers



Mini Projects

Any suggestions? Where can we help you?







Videos

• Monitoring the bus transport system

http://eltis.org/index.php?ID1=7&id=61&video_id=86

• New improved public transport network in Vitoria Gasteiz, Spain

http://eltis.org/index.php?ID1=7&id=61&video_id=98

Budapest: Coloured bus lane

http://eltis.org/index.php?ID1=7&id=61&video_id=6

• Public Transport for people with reduced mobility

http://eltis.org/index.php?ID1=7&id=61&video_id=100

 Sihlcity - Transport solutions for a shopping mall (impact of mobility management measures in conjunction with public transport improvements)

http://eltis.org/index.php?ID1=7&id=61&video_id=76

SUMP and improvements on PT

http://eltis.org/index.php?ID1=7&id=61&video_id=49 (part 1) http://eltis.org/index.php?ID1=7&id=61&video_id=48 (part 2)